SOURCE TERMS Na aerosols and fission products



Nathalie GIRAULT

Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire

Nuclear Safety Research Division/Major Accident Department





This project has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 754501.

Source Terms: Na aerosols and fission products

Main source terms and phenomena
 Overview of knowledge & experimental data
 Current code predictability & major needs



Source Terms: Na aerosols and fission products

Context

- Predicting the radiological consequences of SFR accidental scenarios is of paramount importance (public health and acceptance)
- Increased safety of NPPs is nowadays required (especially after FKS) implying better evaluation of Source Terms (Best Estimate calc. Plus Uncertainties) then
 - A better theoretical knowledge
 - More comprehensive models
 - Development of efficient numerical tools
- Realistic quantification of STs requires a good understanding of whole phenomena and characterization of main parameters impacting FP retention/releases



Main sources terms: origin



In-vessel : 2 transport pathways

- Aerosol transport: the bubble pathway
 A minority of safety studies have investigated bubble scrubbing
- Evaporation: the liquid pathway Main trend in safety studies has been to base primarysystem transfer reactions on this pathway

Common implicit or explicit assumption has been that everything remains in liquid Na

Not obvious: can at best be partly true since, after energetic FCI, a heterogeneous mixture is formed For it to be ientrely valid, the pool scrubbing DF should tend to ∞

C

Main sources terms: origin



ESFR-SMART Spring School 2021, March 30th - On-line

In-containment : 2 releases pathways

Spray fires
 High T(Na) and specific surface:
 Early and fast RN vaporization

✓ Pool fires

Lower T(Na), O_2 access/pool surface limitation Late releases over o longer period of time

Two different source terms to be considered

- Instantaneous ST (bubble pathway + spray fire) Fast release of RN (volatiles to non volatiles), in-vessel FP retention/partition, remaining FP ejection with Na
- Delayed ST (liquid pathway + pool fire) Additional releases of volatiles & semi volatiles from RN vaporisation, longer duration



Na/Na₂O/Na₂O₂/NaOH/Na₂CO₃ aerosols (from Na fires)

✓ Na activation:

²³Na + n - $\bigcirc \rightarrow {}^{24}$ Na ${}^{-15h} \rightarrow {}^{24}Mg + \beta^{-} + \gamma$ $- \bigcirc \rightarrow {}^{22}Na + 2n$ ${}^{-2,6a} \rightarrow {}^{22}Ne (+\beta^{+}ou - e^{-}) + X/\gamma$ $- \circlearrowright \rightarrow {}^{23}Ne + p$ ${}^{-37s} \rightarrow {}^{23}Na + \beta^{-}$

<u>Specific activity of Na in SFRs</u> low but large amount (source IAEA, 1993) In case of primary Na release: potential high β - + γ activity during several days

High chemical toxicity of some species (NaOH)
 Immediately Dangerous for Life and Health: 10 mg (NaOH)/m³ for 30 min

FP dissolved/suspended in Na

- ✓ Higher specific activity for some FP (¹³¹I) but lower releases
- Releases from Na vaporisation/combustion dependent on their physico-chemical characteristics (volatility, solubility, chemistry)

ESFR-SMAR1	⁻ Spring	School	2021,	March	30 th	- On-line
------------	---------------------	--------	-------	-------	------------------	-----------

isotope		24 _{Na}	22 _{Na}
half-life		15 h	2.6 a
reactor	ref \ units	TBq/kg	MBq/kg
EBR-II	[27]	0.1	5
FFTF	[27]	0.41	20
KNK II	[13],[28]	2.5	25
PFR	[104],[24]		14
RAPSODIE	[10]		40
PHENIX	[10],[143]		22
BOR-60	[25],[109]		22
BN-350	[149]	0.37	28
BN-600	[109]	0.75	26

Main phenomena & status

Aerosol/FP bubble scrubbing

Few studies (FAUST, CARAVELLE....), challenging experiments & models

FP vaporization and partition from hot Na pools/Na fires

✓ Some experiments available for FP released from hot Na pools (NALA) few for FP releases from Na pool fires (FANAL), detailed data (release kinetics) often missing

✓ Current past codes often use correlations with fitted parameters (CONTAIN), mechanistic modelling is missing \rightarrow SCOPE of ESFR-SMART R&D work

Na aerosol generation, chemical transformation & transport

✓ Na fires largely studied in the past (FAUNA, EMIS...) for combustion and aerosol generation, more recent experiments focused on Na aerosol speciation (*studied in past JASMIN project*)

Combustion is generally well predicted (pool fires?), some codes embedded ageing models (ASTEC-Na..)

FP interactions with Na-AER

Few experiments only (FANAL, ATF), on going experiments (ATF) & model developments



- Na evaporation (i.e. Na temperature)
- ✓ FP characteristics (volatility, solubility, interaction with Na): I releases lower than Cs due to Nal

But also on

- Mass transfer in gas phase (natural or forced convection)
- Condensation on aerosols and cold surfaces



FP vaporisation from hot Na

In eq. conditions



Na pool temperature (K)

900

1000

1100

In non eq. conditions



ESFR-SMART Spring School 2021, March 30th - On-line

600

700

800

P FP vaporisation from Na fires



Na combustion/FP vaporization function of

- Na vaporisation then combustion: higher T(Na)
 - Increased release of FP (function volatility..)
 - Enhanced release in case of concrete heating (effect of sparging gases (i.e.CO₂) on liquid MT
- Chemical reactions within containment O₂-steam rich atmosphere
 - Increased released of I & Ru (NaI + $H_2O \rightarrow NaOH...$)

$$K_{c} = \frac{\dot{m}_{i}/m_{i,pool}}{\dot{m}_{na}/m_{na,pool}} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \dot{m}_{na} \\ \dot{m}_{i} \end{array}$$

Na aerosol & RN release rates



FP vaporisation from Na fires

FANAL experiments

- Enrichment or depletion of dissolved radionuclides *generally* linked to volatility excepted for iodine (as Nal)
- Cs more volatile \rightarrow enrichment
- Ag ,Sn, Sb, Ru, Ce, Sr, Te, Zr less volatile \rightarrow depletion
- I (Nal) less volatile but enrichment

$$\left(1-R_i(t)\right) = \left(1-R_{na}(t)\right)^{K_c}$$







Na-AER behaviour



Na-AER ageing

 Kinetics of carbonation process enhanced in high relative humidity (90%): complete carbonation in 20mn (90% RH)/1h (20-50% RH) (*Narayanan & al., ANE 80, 2015*)

• Higher CO₂ diffusivity in liquid core (at high RH) compared to solid core particles (Gilardi, IAEA-CN 2013)

Na-AER growth

- Particle size increases with RH (0.9 to 2.1 µm from 20 to 90% RH) enhancing coagulation/settling processes
 - Chemical/radiological toxicity function on suspended aerosol concentration and thus on % RH



- I₂ quickly reacted with Na combustion aerosols
 - Simplified kinetic model (pseudo first order law) from experiments



 FANAL+ FTR experiments + ab initio modelling of the adsorption isotherm

L. Lebel & al., NED 327, 2018 A. Jadon & al., J. Phys.Chem., 2018 ESFR-SMART Spring School 2021, March 30th - On-line







Cs release fraction is much higher than Na and I/Te from hot evaporating Na **but Cs not emitted from a Na fire as quick as from Na evaporation in a inert atm.**

- ✓ Partition coefficient (K_d) in inert atmosphere ~ 4 times higher
- Impact of gas phase chemistry ? (Cs oxidation in Cs₂O)& condensation on Na-Aer?)

Nal release fraction slightly higher than Na **but only during sodium fire**

- ✓ Partition coefficient in inert atmosphere ~ 3 times lower (i.e. depletion of Nal in Na-AER)
- ✓ Nal not reactive with O₂ may diffuse over flame front wo condensing onto Na-Aer flowing back to pool
- ✓ Nal thermal decomposition in the flame : Nal + $H_2O \rightarrow NaOH + HI$; HI + HI → $I_2 + H_2$

Others radionuclides have a very small partitioning

Higher partitioning of soluble RNs (except Te : Na₂Te formation?) compared to non soluble RNs (except Ru: reacts with O₂

FP interactions with Na-AER

- ✓ Strong impact of Na-AER on FP behavior: gas. iodine efficient/fast uptake, FP-AER co-agglomeration
- Na-AER concentration and Initial size distribution thus very critical for FP behaviour

Current code predictability and major needs

- For SFRs contrarily to PWRs where several tools and established methodology available only few integral severe accident tools (ASTEC-Na, CONTAIN-LMR, SOCRAT-BN....)
 - Missing validated models for RN partitioning in core bubble (in vessel instantaneous ST)
 - For RN partitioning between gas and condensed phases (from hot Na pools and Na fires) at the best correlations with fitted parameters are available for FP release
 - Chemical reactivity of FPs are often not taken into account (real FP mixtures, reaction between FP & Na, containment gas phase chemistry ..)

For SFRs appropriate to focus on the development of more mechanistic models and integral codes for in-vessel and in-containment ST modelling

- EU projects (JASMIN, CP-ESFR, ESFR-SMART)
- CRP AIEA on PFBR source term evaluation

Source Terms: Na aerosols and fission products

Conclusions and pending issues

- Few experimental tests on FP releases from hot Na pool, missing kinetics data
- Considerable amount of work focused on sodium fire combustion
 - Comprehensive, large-scale experiments, difficult to replicate today
 - ✓ Only poorly investigated Na-AER ageing & FP behavior
- Recent exp. programs allowed to improve our understanding on both Na-AER & FP during fires and to develop new models
 - ✓ Kinetics of Na-AER ageing
 - ✓ Interactions between Na-AER and FP-AER or volatile iodine
- Past code predictability regarding Na-AER and FP behavior generally poor (fitted parameter correlations)
- Analytical thermodynamical models from ab-initio calc. could greatly help to extensively study FP interactions with Na-AER



al Temperatures 375°C





Thank you!



